



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,596	12/28/2000	Jack Lawrence Lerner	SCHW-510	6737
7590 02/22/2005 STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP ATTN BRIAN J KEATING 121 SPEAR STREET SUITE 290 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			EXAMINER	
			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		09/750,596	LERNER, JACK LAWRENCE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hussein A El-chanti	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statually received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	October 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-37</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 38-44</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
10)🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2004</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correThe oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment Oct. 5, 2004. Claims 1, 2 and 4 were amended. Claims 23-37 were canceled. Claims 38-44 were newly added.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on Oct. 5, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-21 and 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,499,052 (referred to hereafter as Hoang).

Hoang teaches the invention explicitly as claimed including a system and method of removing a merchant cookie and saving the cookie on a middle server (see abstract).

As to claim 1, Hoang teaches a method of providing a single sign-on distributed application services integration, comprising the steps of:

Providing a central domain server, wherein a configuration file resides on the central domain server and the configuration file contains a list of cookie fields that may be read, or written to and identifies whether a particular application has read access or

Art Unit: 2157

write access to a field of a cookie of a cookie file (see col. 6 lines 47-65, RMIS receives a request from the user and determines an associated cookie with the identified user);

receiving a first indication of a user pointing a browser to a first application (see col. 6 lines 47-65);

receiving a cookie file of said browser corresponding to the user (see col. 9 lines 43-57, a corresponding cookie to the user request is determined in response to a user request);

updating said cookie file (see col. 10 lines 27-36, the cookie is updated);

receiving a second indication of said user pointing said browser to a second application (see col. 3 lines 35-45); and

providing the second application with read access to different fields of the updated cookie file as determined by the list of cookie file which identifies which cookie fields the second application has read access to and providing the second application with write access to different fields of the cookie file as determined by the list of cookie fields (see col. 9 lines 43-57, multiple clients can send multiple requests where each request has an associated cookie file).

As to claim 2, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said cookie file of said server domain received a said receiving step is encrypted (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 3, Hoang teaches the method of claim 2 further including the step of decrypting said encrypted cookie file (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

As to claim 5, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first and second applications each includes one or more predetermined resources (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

As to claim 6, Hoang teaches the method of claim 5 wherein said predetermined resources include one or more of a web page, a CGI script and a java servlet (see col. 8 lines 56-67).

As to claim 7, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first and second applications reside in a central server domain (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

As to claim 8, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first and second applications are third party applications residing in a central server domain (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

As to claim 9, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said step of updating said cookie file includes the steps of:

comparing the cookie file to one or more of predetermined parameters; and generating said updated cookie file based on said comparing step (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 10, Hoang teaches the method of claim 9 wherein said step of comparing includes the step of reading said cookie file and retrieving a corresponding name=value pair for said user (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

As to claim 11, Hoang teaches the method of claim 9 wherein said predetermined parameters include a user identification information, a user event access history information, and a user access level information (see col. 9 lines 43-57).

As to claim 12, Hoang teaches the method of claim 11 wherein said user identification information includes one or more of a user name, a user social security number, a user address, a user telephone number, a user email address, a user age, a user gender, a user account type, and a user account activity history (see col. 3 lines 29-40).

As to claim 13, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said step of providing said updated cookie file is performed synchronously with the step of receiving said second indication (see col. 3 lines 29-40).

As to claim 14, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein when second indication of said user pointing said browser to a second application is received, the updated cookie file is automatically provided to said second application (see col. 10 lines 27-36).

As to claim 15, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said first application resides in a central server, and further, wherein said second application is linked by a hypertext link to a remote site (see col. 8 lines 57-67).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 16, Hoang teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said step of receiving said first indication includes the steps of: receiving a user login information; and comparing said user login information to a predetermined login data (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

As to claim 17, Hoang teaches the method of claim 16 wherein said user login information includes a user name and a password (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

As to claim 18, Hoang teaches the method of claim 16 wherein said predetermined login data includes a user registration information (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

As to claim 19, Hoang teaches the method of claim 16 further including the step of permitting user browser access to said first application based on the outcome of the comparing step (see col. 1 lines 60-col. 2 lines 5).

As to claim 20, Hoang teaches the method of claim 19 wherein said user browser is permitted access said first application when said comparing step returns a match flag (see col. 9 lines 43-60).

As to claim 21, Hoang teaches the method of claim 19 wherein said user browser is not permitted access to said first application when said comparing step returns a fail flag (see col. 9 lines 43-60).

As to claim 38, Hoang teaches a method of providing a distributed application services integration system comprising:

Page 7

providing a central domain server, wherein a configuration file resides on the central domain server, and the configuration file contains a list of cookie fields that may be read, or written to, and identifies whether a particular application has read access or write access, to a field of a cookie file (see col. 6 lines 47-65);

providing a first application which transmits first application user event data to a first application interface library (see col. 9 lines 35-67);

wherein the first application interface library determines whether the first application user event data is a first type of event data which requires a change to a field in a cookie file to provide real time communication to other applications of the system, and the first application determines whether the user event data is a second type of user event data which does not require real time communication to other applications of the system (see col. 9 lines 35-67);

using a cookie access library to update a change in a field in the cookie file where the first application user event data is determined to be a first type of user event data; where a first application user event data is determined to be a second type of user event data transmitting the user event data through message queuing middleware (see col. 9 lines 35-67); and

controlling the first applications access to cookie fields of the cookie file based on the list in the configuration file (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 39, Hoang teaches the method of claim 38 further including: providing a second application which transmits a second application user event data to a second application interface library; wherein the second application interface library determines whether the second application user event data is a first type of event data which requires a change to a field in the cookie file provide real time communication to other applications of the system, and the second application determines whether the second application user event data is a second type of user event data which does not require real time communication to other applications of the system (see col. 9 lines 35-67);

using a cookie access library to update a change a field in the cookie file where the second application user event data is determined to be the first type of user event data; where a second application user event data is determined to be the second type of user event data transmitting the second application user event data through message queuing middleware (see col. 9 lines 35-67); and

controlling the second applications access to cookie fields of the cookie. file based on the list in the configuration file (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

As to claim 40, Hoang teaches the method of claim 38 further including: encrypting first application user event data where the first application user event data is determined to be the second type of event data, prior to transmitting the first application user event data through the message queuing middleware (see col. 9 lines 43-60).

As to claim 41, Hoang teaches the method of claim 38 further including: pushing information from the configuration file through the message delivering middleware to the first application interface library (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

As to claim 42, Hoang teaches the method of claim 41, wherein the information from the configuration file controls the operation of the first application interface library when a user event takes place (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

As to claim 43, Hoang teaches the method of claim 39 further including: pushing information from the configuration file through the message delivering middleware to the first application interface library and the second application interface library (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

As to claim 44, Hoang teaches the method of claim 43, wherein the information pushed from the configuration file controls the operation of the first application interface library when a user event takes place, and controls the operation of the second application interface library (see col. 9 lines 35-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoang in view of Shi.

Hoang teaches a method of providing a single sign-on distributed application services integration, comprising the steps of receiving a first indication of a user pointing

Art Unit: 2157

a browser to a first application where the cookie can be a small piece of information (see col. 1 lines 50-60).

Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hoang to incorporate the 4 Kbytes cookies because doing so would result in faster access to website since the size of the file is relatively small and therefore requiring less time to retrieve the cookie file.

5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoang in view of Shi.

Hoang does not explicitly teach the limitation prompting the user to reenter login information". However Shi teaches a method of sending a user name and password to login to a site where the user name and password are sent as a cookie (see col. 8-col. 9).

It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hoang by prompting said user to reenter the user login information as taught by Shi because doing so would allow the user to try login process in case an error occurred during login process.

- **6.** Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,596 Page 11

Art Unit: 2157

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein Elchanti Feb. 9, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100